

**MASTER'S THESIS**

**Practical Aspects & Upcoming  
Developments of European  
Regulations for UAS below 150 kg  
in Context with Austrian  
Rulemaking**

Submitted by:	Mathias Ritzinger, BSc
Registration Number:	12 105 88 024
Academic Supervisor:	FH-Prof. Dipl.-Ing. Dr. Holger Flühr
Company Supervisor:	Mag. Raoul Fortner (AAI)
Date of Submission:	09 September 2014

## Abstract

The numerous questions regarding regulations for Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS) in Europe have recently stirred a fascinating and highly topic debate on the issue of manifold rulemaking activities on the international, European and national levels. This thesis describes and summarizes the latest developments and national regulations in relevant European states, while also comparing those current rules and finally anticipating the further evolution of UAS regulations in Europe, particularly in Austria.

Regulations for UAS are important because civil applications of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAV), or so called „drones“, are an upcoming topic due to the rapid development in the computing power of microprocessors and consequently their miniaturization. Therefore UAVs decrease in size, weight and acquisition costs but increase in their flying capabilities. Hence this thesis was initiated and supervised by the Austrian Aeronautics Industries Group (AAI) within the project Austrian UcM (Austrian UAS for civil Missions), supported by the Austrian Research Promotion Agency (FFG) in the context of the TAKE OFF funding program. The aim is to support the further harmonization of UAS regulations in Europe also by the dissemination of this thesis to international and national associations, working groups as well as rulemaking institutions.

At the beginning this thesis identifies the key stakeholders and institutions which influence the development of regulations for UAS, beginning with the International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO), the European Commission (EC), the European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA) up to the National Civil Aviation Authorities (NCAA) and the international working group JARUS.

Thereafter this thesis works to minimize the lack of knowledge about current UAS regulations in Europe that has been observed during discussions on international and national UAS conferences. Engineers are often ignorant about regulations and more focused on the technical aspects of a system, but only a profound knowledge about the appropriate regulations will lay a solid foundation for certifying a technical system in the aeronautics domain and lead to a successful business case.

Therefore this thesis provides a detailed legal comparison and subsequent analysis about European and selected national UAS regulations, to finally elaborate the differences, parallelisms, advantages and disadvantages of the present regulations. This thesis will conclude with an outlook and a final conclusion on future developments in Europe, particularly in Austria, as to the direction that these UAS regulations are headed.

---

## Contents

<b>Kurzfassung</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>List of Abbreviations</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Subject & Purpose of this Thesis . . . . .	1
1.2 Motivation & Importance of the Topic . . . . .	2
1.3 Outline of the Thesis . . . . .	4
1.4 Supervising Company . . . . .	5
1.4.1 The Austrian Aeronautics Industries Group (AAI) . . . . .	5
1.4.2 AAI - UAS Working Group . . . . .	7
1.4.3 TAKE OFF Project Austrian UcM . . . . .	7
<b>2 Structures &amp; Upcoming Regulations on the International Level</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1 International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) . . . . .	9
2.1.1 Structure & Function of ICAO . . . . .	9
2.1.2 ICAO - UAS Study Group & RPAS Panel . . . . .	10
2.1.3 Circular 328 . . . . .	11
2.1.4 Amendment 43 to Annex II . . . . .	17
2.2 Joint Authorities for Rulemaking on Unmanned Systems (JARUS) . . . . .	17
2.2.1 Structure & Function of JARUS . . . . .	17
2.2.2 Current Drafts & Deliverables of JARUS . . . . .	19
<b>3 Structures &amp; Upcoming Regulations on the European Level</b>	<b>21</b>
3.1 European Commission (EC) . . . . .	21
3.1.1 Structure & Function of DG MOVE & DG ENTR . . . . .	21
3.1.2 SWD(2012) 259 - Towards a European Strategy for the Development of Civil Applications of Remotely Piloted Aircraft Systems (RPAS) .	22

---

3.1.3	European RPAS Roadmap . . . . .	24
3.1.4	COM(2014) 207 - A New Era for Aviation – Opening the Aviation Market to the Civil Use of Remotely Piloted Aircraft Systems in a Safe and Sustainable Manner . . . . .	29
3.2	European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA) . . . . .	31
3.2.1	Structure & Function of EASA . . . . .	31
3.2.2	NPA2012-10, CRD2012-10 and NPA2014-09 . . . . .	32
3.3	EUROCONTROL . . . . .	36
3.3.1	Structure & Function of EUROCONTROL . . . . .	36
3.3.2	Current Activities in the Field of UAS . . . . .	37
<b>4</b>	<b>Selected UAS Regulations on the National Level (by year)</b>	<b>39</b>
4.1	UK . . . . .	40
4.1.1	Legal Aviation Framework and Structure of Competent Authorities	40
4.1.2	General Regulations & Classification of UAS . . . . .	41
4.1.3	Applicability & Detailed Categorization of UAS Regulations . . . . .	43
4.1.4	UAS Airworthiness Requirements . . . . .	44
4.1.5	UAS Operational Requirements . . . . .	44
4.1.6	UAS Pilot Requirements . . . . .	45
4.2	France . . . . .	47
4.2.1	Legal Aviation Framework and Structure of Competent Authorities	47
4.2.2	General Regulations & Classification of UAS . . . . .	47
4.2.3	Applicability & Detailed Categorization of UAS Regulations . . . . .	50
4.2.4	UAS Airworthiness Requirements . . . . .	51
4.2.5	UAS Operational Requirements . . . . .	52
4.2.6	UAS Pilot Requirements . . . . .	53
4.3	Germany . . . . .	55
4.3.1	Legal Aviation Framework and Structure of Competent Authorities	55
4.3.2	General Regulations & Classification of UAS . . . . .	57
4.3.3	Applicability & Detailed Categorization of UAS Regulations . . . . .	58
4.3.4	UAS Airworthiness Requirements . . . . .	59
4.3.5	UAS Operational Requirements . . . . .	59
4.3.6	UAS Pilot Requirements . . . . .	61
4.4	Austria . . . . .	62
4.4.1	Legal Aviation Framework and Structure of Competent Authorities	62

---

4.4.2	General Regulations & Classification of UAS . . . . .	63
4.4.3	Applicability & Detailed Categorization of UAS Regulations . . . . .	64
4.4.4	UAS Airworthiness Requirements . . . . .	65
4.4.5	UAS Operational Requirements . . . . .	69
4.4.6	UAS Pilot Requirements . . . . .	70
4.5	Italy . . . . .	71
4.5.1	Legal Aviation Framework and Structure of Competent Authorities . . . . .	71
4.5.2	General Regulations & Classification of UAS . . . . .	72
4.5.3	Applicability & Detailed Categorization of UAS Regulations . . . . .	73
4.5.4	UAS Airworthiness Requirements . . . . .	74
4.5.5	UAS Operational Requirements . . . . .	75
4.5.6	UAS Pilot Requirements . . . . .	77
4.6	Forthcoming Civil UAS Regulations in Non EU-Countries . . . . .	78
4.6.1	Norway . . . . .	78
4.6.2	Switzerland . . . . .	80
<b>5</b>	<b>Analysis</b>	<b>81</b>
5.1	Comparison of the National UAS Regulations in Europe . . . . .	81
5.1.1	Applicability & Detailed Categorization of UAS Regulations . . . . .	84
5.1.2	UAS Airworthiness Requirements . . . . .	87
5.1.3	UAS Operational Requirements . . . . .	90
5.1.4	UAS Pilot Requirements . . . . .	92
5.1.5	General Summary . . . . .	95
5.2	Assessment & Recommendations . . . . .	98
<b>6</b>	<b>Outlook &amp; Conclusions</b>	<b>103</b>
6.1	Outlook - European UAS Regulations . . . . .	103
6.2	Outlook - Austrian UAS Regulations . . . . .	107
6.3	Final Conclusions . . . . .	109
	<b>References</b>	<b>111</b>

---